

## Arc of Appalacia Events

Link below of the events of the Arc of Appalacia, a mostly nature organization that also operates Serpent Mount for Ohio & other sites. These may be of interest.

<http://arcofappalachia.org/events.html>

## Couple interesting Links

Member Buzz Calvert has been busy on the internet and passed along a couple of interesting links:

Jim, this is an amazing story about the power of oral histories in recording ancient geological and ecological events over time.

<http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/ancient-sea-rise-tale-told-accurately-for-10-000-years/>

Another interesting effort to track cultural and linguistic migration.

Buzz

<http://www.ancient-origins.net/news-history-archaeology/europeans-share-more-language-and-genes-asia-previously-thought-002682>

## Egyptians in the Grand Canyon - According to Jack Andrews

The location of Kincaid's "Cave": [http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp\\_orionzone\\_8.htm](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp_orionzone_8.htm)

Lost City of the Dead in the Grand Canyon: [http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp\\_orionzone\\_9.htm](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp_orionzone_9.htm)

The Newspaper actually existed.

Go to Phoenix city field in the table of this link:

<http://www.library.arizona.edu/help/how/find/newspapers/arizona>

It seems that someone investigated to see if that kind of sensationalism was a routine in that newspaper.

[http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp\\_orionzone\\_8b.htm](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp_orionzone_8b.htm)

Kind regards from Spain,

José Vergara

Seems like I saw a debunking of this somewhere and none of the names fact checked. I think maybe it was worse and the paper didn't even exist. But I could be wrong.

April 5<sup>th</sup> isn't April 1<sup>st</sup>; insinuating the whole thing was "close" to a joke?

What's the point Steve?

Note how close this newspaper's date is to April 1!

--Steve Jett

I was aware of a news report but never read a copy. Thanks for sharing Greg !

Hi Jim,

You are probably aware of this article written in 1909 from the Arizona Gazette, if not, it gives more evidence that the ancient Egyptians visited the Grand Canyon. Now suppressed of course. Please pass this on.

Regards: Greg

I brightened the original photo with PhotoShop, easier to see. Any ideas ? Jim Leslie

Jim,

This poor photo was sent to me with the caption "Found by Navajo boys near Lake Powell" That is all it said.

What's the group's take on this! I am searching for more info on this photo and the possible site of discovery.

Steve

At least it is true that there are many caves (none huge, as far as I know) in the Canyon's Redwall Limestone (I have run the river and have visited Stantons Cave and Thunder River, which emerges from a cave, as does Vaseys Paradise).

--Steve Jett

## **Egyptians in the Grand Canyon - Jack Andrews' Map & Google Earth Imagery**

**Jack Andrews' probable location of Kincaid's Cave, Grand Canyon National Park, AZ**

Attach picture ...orion7....

Attach picture ....osiristemple...

## **Egyptians in the Grand Canyon - According to Jack Andrews Map & USGS**

<http://www.zionnational-park.com/map-point-sublime.htm>

<http://www.zionnational-park.com/map-point-sublime.htm>

attach picute - ...mappointsublime

## **Egyptians in the Grand Canyon**

I brightened the original photo with PhotoShop, easier to see. Any ideas ? Jim Leslie

Jim,

This poor photo was sent to me with the caption "Found by Navajo boys near Lake Powell" That is all it said.

What's the group's take on this! I am searching for more info on this photo and the possible site of discovery.

Steve

2 files to attach titled ...fwdegyptiansingrandcanyon

Glen Canyon is upstream from Grand Canyon. The Navajo Reservation is on the left bank below the San Juan River confluence. I once identified a seeming Libyan inscription not too far away (near Navajo Mountain, UT).

The signs here are ancient Egyptian, all right, but I can say nothing beyond that.

--Steve Jett

The Hopi claim to have emerged from the Grand Canyon in this their fourth world. Many of their mythical places of origin, place-names today and religious terms can be read as unaltered ancient Egyptian. The strongest knowledge of Egyptian things is in the Reed or Boat or Water Clan and Flute Clans. I discuss some of these correspondences in *Old Souls in a New World: The Secret History of the Cherokee Indians*. Chapter Four is "The Hopi Book of the Dead."

Donald Yates

I was aware of a news report but never read a copy. Thanks for sharing Greg !

Hi Jim,

You are probably aware of this article written in 1909 from the Arizona Gazette, if not, it gives more evidence that the ancient Egyptians visited the Grand Canyon. Now suppressed of course. Please pass this on.

Regards: Greg

Attach file ...1909azpaper

Note how close this newspaper's date is to April 1!  
--Steve Jett

April 5<sup>th</sup> isn't April 1<sup>st</sup>; insinuating the whole thing was "close" to a joke?

What's the point Steve?

Seems like I saw a debunking of this somewhere and none of the names fact checked. I think maybe it was worse and the paper didn't even exist. But I could be wrong.

The Newspaper actually existed.

Go to Phoenix city field in the table of this link:

<http://www.library.arizona.edu/help/how/find/newspapers/arizona>

It seems that someone investigated to see if that kind of sensationalism was a routine in that newspaper.

[http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp\\_orionzone\\_8b.htm](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/esp_orionzone_8b.htm)

Kind regards from Spain,

José Vergara

Scott is correct in saying that the facts were investigated and found wanting.

Anonymous. 2012b. Egyptian Artifacts in the Canyon? Arizona Highways 81(8):5.  
Talks about the 5 April 1909 hoax article in the Arizona Gazette that claimed the Smithsonian was due to investigate an explorer's discovery of ancient Egyptian artifacts in a mammoth chamber in one of Grand Canyon's walls.

Childress, David Hatcher. 1993. Archaeological Coverups? *NEXUS New Times* 2(13).  
<http://www.keelynet.com/unclass/canyon.txt>

He discusses John Wesley Powell's isolationism, adopted by the Smithsonian Institution, and alleges that, over the years, that organization has suppressed information suggesting pre-Columbian transoceanic contacts and other "anomalies," including a large, armor-clad man in Oklahoma's Spiro Mound and 60-centimeter (24-in.) human skulls from the Aleutian Islands. Many objects cannot now be found in the collections; an ex-employee accused the Institution of dumping a barge load of artifacts into the Atlantic. Appended to this article is another, which appeared in a 5 April 1909 issue of the *Phoenix Gazette*, entitled "Explorations in Grand Canyon: Mysteries of Immense Rich Cavern Being Brought to Light," reporting a supposed Smithsonian expedition to the Grand Canyon to investigate an enormous subterranean tomb containing remains of ancient Egyptians (the Smithsonian denies all knowledge of such an effort or of the personnel named).

--Steve Jett

Sorry, I meant Don, not Scott.  
I assume that the paper was a weekly.  
--Steve

<https://mail.google.com/mail/ca/u/0/?ui=2&ik=1457b45b00&view=att&th=14ba759c064c1ab8&attid=0.1.1&disp=inline&safe=1&zw>  
from Jose

Does anybody really need to be convinced the Smithsonian has been a central player in the pre-Columbian contact cover-up since its inception? The Institution continues to expose itself as corrupt with the recent handling of the Bat Creek Stone. Last February, they issued a statement that threw the credibility of the entire Mound Builder's Excavation Program into question with their irresponsible statements issued after the artifact was loaned to the Museum of the Cherokee Indian on the reservation in Cherokee. Anyone who is interested can read the exchange on my blog at this link:

<http://scottwolteranswers.blogspot.com/2014/02/the-smithsonian-responds-to-america.html>

If you can't trust them now; you sure as Hell couldn't trust them back then? This doesn't prove there's a cave in the Grand Canyon, but if the Smithsonian issued a denial, that's pretty powerful evidence there probably is a cave.

Scott

Scott,

I am surprised to read your comments re: The Smithsonian. I know there have been problems with denial there in the past, but I watched (from my DVR where I saved it) your show last week about the “mammoth” carving in Florida. You went to the Smithsonian and they supported your views (and the views of most of us on this string) about pre-Columbian contacts. We even saw a “French” point brought out—made in “France” apparently, but dug up in Virginia. I thought that was the most spectacular single find in years. And thanks for showing it on you show! They have also led the way on the whole matter of Solutrean travel in the Ice Age to “America.”

So, am I missing something? Or is there a division at the Smithsonian on these matters?

Jack Burgess

Jack,

Dennis Stanford is at a point in his career where he doesn't care what the higher-ups think. He's also a maverick who does what he wants which makes him one of the very rare exceptions. He's also a very down-to-earth, brilliant guy who I have a lot of respect for.

I would ask you to read the exchange with the Smithsonian and let me know if you come away with a different interpretation.

Scott

Scott,

I have not yet read through the entire discussion on your blog. The sharp exchanges are certainly very interesting.

Three years ago, Smithsonian published a 2-kg book "Timelines of History". As prestigious as Smithsonian, the errors are appalling. One of a very few graphics on Chinese language is reversed. Errors and omissions are plenty in the invention of agricultural tools, currency, coins, herbal medicine, acupuncture, tea, porcelain, silk, astronomy, printing, and a lot more. It would be shocking to anyone who has some knowledge of Joseph Needham's works. Because there is no Chinese scholar on the editorial board, these omissions and errors went to press without correction. I don't think there is a lack of learned historians to proofread. This kind of oversight is so dreadful that it seem like Smithsonian is trying to rewrite history of 1/4 of the humanity. Frankly, my impression of Smithsonian has been shattered.

SL Lee

Dr Lee, you make good points! Tomorrow morning at our Q-meeting in my share of talking I will tell how local Ohio Arch. Authority mistook a Ohio tunnel-chamber for a root cellar. Hilarious but sad.

Scott,

Took your suggestion and read the exchanges. You're right, of course, that the Smithsonian has often played a gatekeeper role instead of an open-minded investigative one. Come to think of it, what I was thinking of as Smithsonian was mostly Dennis Stanford's own openness to new ideas—including his leadership on the Solutrean concepts. I do enjoy my Smithsonian magazine and many of their TV programs—though as a former history teacher (with a degree—but only a bachelors in that field—augmented by legal studies and an MA in another field) I notice some less-than scholarly or scientific approaches in their scripts or texts, sometimes.

Looking at the back-and-forth you've had (and who hasn't) with old-school folks on matters of diffusion versus separate invention, I draw on my work in the field of arbitration. There we looked for the "preponderance of the evidence," as opposed to "beyond a reasonable doubt," as in a capital crime. There certainly are reasonable doubts about much of the evidence for pre-Columbian contacts with the old "New World," but the preponderance of the evidence—and there's really a lot—argues for considerable contact, not only from Europe, but Africa, and Asia.

We do know that people will differ in interpretations. Here in Ohio, we're still arguing over the age and origin of Serpent Mound and some of our other early sites. We'll have one archeologist proclaim in the news that Serpent Mound has been carbon dated to 1100 A.D., then another say, people have been living there for thousands of years, so you're going to get a lot of different carbon dates.

I enjoy your show. You help put these subjects before the public in an entertaining way.

Jack Burgess, MES

Chillicothe, Ohio